



Self-Medication Practice Among Undergraduates of Rupandehi District, Nepal

Author: Jyoti Acharya¹, Ashok Pandey¹, Sushhama Neupane¹, Pratiksha Bhandari¹

Affiliation: Department of Public Health, Kathmandu Multiple College, Purbanchal University, Kathmandu, Nepal

Abstract

Publishing Process

Received on: Nov 25, 2025

Finalized to publish: Dec 23, 2025



Open Access 4.0

Published date: Dec 25, 2025

Background: Self-medication is a global concern that is widely practiced by university students, which may be due to easy access to medicines, perceived mild illnesses, and lack of time. Though this practice is convenient, it may lead to adverse drug reactions, resistance, and delayed diagnosis. The study aims to assess the prevalence, enabling factors, and associations between self-medication and sociodemographic

and socioeconomic characteristics among undergraduates of Rupandehi district, Nepal.

Methodology: An analytical cross-sectional study was conducted among 364 undergraduate students (182 medical and 182 non-medical). A structured, self-administered questionnaire was used. Convenience sampling was applied for selecting medical colleges, and neighbourhood control sampling for non-medical colleges.

Result: The prevalence of self-medication in the past six months was 81%. Common symptoms were headaches (74.3%), common cold (70.6%), and fever (41.2%). Painkillers (84.5%) and antibiotics (39.4%) were the most frequently used medications. Pharmacies were the main source (87.5%). Parental education and mother's occupation were significantly associated with self-medication ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: Self-medication is highly prevalent among undergraduates due to easy access to medicines and perceived minor illnesses. Awareness programs and stricter regulation of over-the-counter medicine dispensing are essential.

Keywords: Enabling factors, Pharmacy access, Self-medication, Undergraduates

Declaration: There is no conflict of Interest

Introduction

Self-medication is a growing global concern, affecting both developed and developing nations, including Nepal. Self-medication refers to the practice of using medicinal products to treat self-diagnosed symptoms or conditions without professional guidance, which is also an important aspect of self-care². This may involve using over-the-counter drugs, leftover prescriptions, or advice from non-medical sources. While self-medication can be convenient and cost-effective, especially for minor health issues, it carries serious risks when done irresponsibly³. In university settings, especially among students, self-medication is a common behaviour. Medical students are often believed to self-medicate more confidently due to their academic exposure to healthcare, whereas non-medical students might



rely on advertisements or past experiences. Studies show that medical students may have better access to health information and resources, potentially making their self-medication practices more informed⁴. However, across both groups, common reasons for self-medicating include lack of time, financial constraints, difficulty in accessing medical professionals, and peer influence. Despite the occasional benefits of quick relief and cost-saving, self-medication can lead to delayed diagnoses, misuse of drugs, resistance to antibiotics, and even serious side effects⁵. Therefore, understanding how and why students, both medical and non-medical, engage in this practice is vital for designing effective awareness and intervention strategies.

METHODOLOGY

An analytical cross-sectional study was conducted among undergraduates (medical and non-medical) of Rupandehi district, Nepal. The students enrolled in Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery (MBBS) and Pharmacy among medical undergraduates, along with non-medical undergraduates enrolled in Bachelor of Business Administration (BBA) and Bachelor of Business Studies (BBS) were included in the study. Those students who were absent and didn't want to participate were excluded. Sample size was calculated using Cochran's formula with $p = 59\%$ (self-medication prevalence), 95% Confidence Interval, and 5% margin of error for the final sample size after adjustment and 10% non-response, which equals 364 (182 medical, 182 non-medical). The convenience sampling technique was used for choosing medical colleges, whereas the neighbourhood control method⁶ was used for choosing non-medical colleges, and the Probability Proportional to Size sampling technique was used for student selection. A structured questionnaire was used as a tool, and a self-administered questionnaire technique was used for data collection. The data analysis was done using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, and the questionnaire was pretested by 10% of the study population to validate the consistency of the questions and data collection tools. Appropriate modifications were made after discussing with the supervisor before starting the data collection procedure. For the validity of the tool, adequate concerned literatures are reviewed. Study proposal presentation and questionnaire development were done under the close guidance of the supervisor. The set of 13 questionnaires was checked and verified by the study supervisor and the concerned teacher. Similarly, ethical consideration was approved by Kathmandu Multiple College (KMC), and informed consent was obtained from each college department.

Results

Sociodemographic status

Table 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Respondents (n = 364)

| | Variables | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Age | | | |
| Less than 20 | | 111 | 30.5 |
| 20 and above | | 253 | 69.5 |
| Mean±Standard Deviation: 21±1.5 | Minimum/Maximum: 17/29 | | |
| Gender Category | | | |
| Male | | 135 | 37.1 |
| Female | | 229 | 62.9 |



Ethnicity/ Caste Category

| | | |
|---|-----|------|
| Terai Brahmin | 106 | 29.1 |
| Terai Chhetri | 20 | 5.5 |
| Terai Janjati | 41 | 11.3 |
| Hill Brahmin/Chhetri | 135 | 37.1 |
| Hill Janjati | 20 | 5.5 |
| Terai Dalit | 12 | 3.3 |
| Hill Dalit | 3 | 0.8 |
| Muslim | 13 | 3.6 |
| Others (Telu, Vaishnav, Rajput, Bhumihar) | 14 | 3.8 |

Religion category

| | | |
|-----------|-----|------|
| Hindu | 334 | 91.8 |
| Buddhist | 12 | 3.3 |
| Christian | 6 | 1.6 |

Family members

| | | |
|-------------|-----|------|
| Less than 5 | 242 | 66.5 |
| 5 and above | 122 | 33.5 |

Mean±Standard Deviation:5±1.7 Minimum/Maximum: 3/10

Most respondents were aged 20 years and above (69.5%), with a mean age of 21 ± 1.5 years. Females represented a larger proportion (62.9%). The largest ethnic group was Hill Brahmin/Chhetri (37.1%), followed by Terai Brahmin (29.1%). The majority identified as Hindu (91.8%). Two-thirds (66.5%) lived in families with fewer than five members.

Socioeconomic status

Table 2: Socioeconomic Characteristics of the respondents (n = 364)

| Variables | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Education category | | |
| Father's Education | | |
| Cannot read and write | 2 | 0.5 |
| Can read and write | 15 | 4.1 |
| Basic Level (1-8) | 56 | 15.4 |
| Secondary Level (9-12) | 197 | 54.1 |
| Bachelor's and above | 94 | 25.8 |
| Mother's Education | | |
| Cannot read and write | 2 | 0.5 |
| Can read and write | 30 | 8.2 |
| Basic Level (1-8) | 83 | 22.8 |
| Secondary Level (9-12) | 200 | 54.9 |
| Bachelor's and above | 49 | 13.5 |
| Father's Occupation | | |
| Homemaker | 1 | 0.3 |
| Unemployed | 4 | 1.1 |
| Government worker | 67 | 18.4 |
| Business | 138 | 37.9 |
| Daily wages | 4 | 1.1 |



| Variables | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Foreign employment | 90 | 24.7 |
| Agriculture | 55 | 15.1 |
| Others (Doctor, Teacher) | 5 | 1.4 |
| Mother's Occupation | | |
| Homemaker | 89 | 24.5 |
| Unemployed | 22 | 6.0 |
| Government worker | 39 | 10.7 |
| Business | 103 | 28.3 |
| Daily wages | 5 | 1.4 |
| Foreign employment | 16 | 4.4 |
| Agriculture | 83 | 22.8 |
| Others (Teacher) | 7 | 1.9 |
| Academic Field | | |
| Medical | 182 | 50 |
| Non-Medical | 182 | 50 |

The table presents the socioeconomic characteristics of the 364 respondents. In the education category, Secondary level (9-12) education is maximum in both father's (54.1) and mother's (54.9) educational status. Similarly, both the parents have business as major occupation followed by foreign employment (24.7) in father's occupation and Homemaker (24.5) among mothers. The medical and non-medical students are equal in number.

Self-medication practices within 6 months

Table 3: Prevalence of Self-Medication practice within 6 months

| Variable | Frequency | Percent (%) |
|---|-----------|-------------|
| Prevalence of Self-Medication practice within 6 months (n=364) | | |
| Yes | 295 | 81 |
| No | 69 | 19 |
| Practice of self-medication (n=295) | | |
| Always | 4 | 1.4 |
| Often | 42 | 14.2 |
| Sometimes | 167 | 56.6 |
| Rarely | 82 | 27.8 |

*Multiple Response

The table shows the prevalence of Self-Medication reporting that 81% engaged in self-medication in the past six months, while 19% did not, mainly due to lack of illness (66.7%) or fear of wrong diagnosis (21.7%). Among those who self-medicated, 56.6% did so sometimes, 27.8% rarely, 14.2% often, and 1.4% always.



Enabling factors of self-medication

Table 4: Enabling factors of self-medication practice

| Variable | Frequency | Percent |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Common health issues* | | |
| Common cold | 209 | 70.6 |
| Headache | 220 | 74.3 |
| Gastritis | 99 | 33.4 |
| Fever | 122 | 41.2 |
| Menstrual Pain | 64 | 21.6 |
| Skin problem | 19 | 6.4 |
| Others (Tonsillitis, Diarrhoea) | 3 | 1.0 |
| Reasons for using medicine* | | |
| Mild illness not serious enough for a doctor's visit | 228 | 77 |
| Lack of access to health care | 22 | 7.4 |
| Lack of time/emergency | 29 | 9.8 |
| Previous experience with similar symptoms | 92 | 31.1 |
| Cost of medical consultation/checkups | 16 | 5.4 |
| Influence from friends/family | 15 | 5.1 |
| Sources of information* | | |
| Internet search | 145 | 48.8 |
| Books | 45 | 15.2 |
| Experience (self-knowledge) | 181 | 60.9 |
| Advice from friends/family | 109 | 36.7 |
| TV, social media, newspaper | 58 | 19.5 |
| Types of Medication used* | | |
| Painkillers (e.g., Ibuprofen, Diclofenac, Meftal, etc) | 251 | 84.5 |
| Antibiotics (e.g. Amoxillin, azithromycin, Penicillin, Metronidazole, etc) | 117 | 39.4 |
| Cough/cold medications | 95 | 32 |
| Antacids (e.g., Digene, Pantoprazole, Omeprazole, etc) for gastritis | 67 | 22.6 |
| Don't know | 16 | 5.4 |
| Others (Supplements) | 1 | 0.3 |
| Sources of receiving medication* | | |
| Directly from the pharmacy | 260 | 87.5 |
| Leftover medicine at home | 81 | 27.3 |
| With the help of the previous prescription | 45 | 15.2 |
| If from the pharmacy, how? * | | |
| Mentioning symptoms | 180 | 60.6 |
| Mentioning the name of the medicine | 171 | 57.6 |
| Showing the paper with the name of the medicine written | 40 | 13.5 |
| Potential risks/drawbacks of self-medication* | | |
| Wrong diagnosis | 121 | 40.7 |
| Increase risk of abuse | 90 | 30.3 |
| Misuse, Overdose or interaction with other drugs | 152 | 51.2 |

*Multiple Response



Headache (74.3%) and common cold (70.6%) were the leading conditions prompting self-medication. Mild illness was cited as the main reason (77%). Pharmacies were the most common source of medicines (87.5%), and painkillers (84.5%) were the most frequently used drugs. More than half (51.2%) believed self-medication risks included misuse or overdose.

Association of self-medication practice with sociodemographic characteristics

Table 5: Association with sociodemographic practice

| Variables | Practicing Self-Medication (n=295) (%) | Not practicing Self-Medication (n=69) (%) | Chi-square | p-value |
|------------------------------|--|---|------------|---------|
| Age | | | | |
| <20 years | 90 (81.1) | 21 (18.9) | 0.001 | 0.990 |
| >20 years | 205 (81.0) | 48 (19.0) | | |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 108 (80.0) | 27 (20.0) | 0.152 | 0.696 |
| Female | 187 (81.7) | 42 (18.3) | | |
| Ethnicity/Caste | | | | |
| Terai Brahmin/Chhetri | 95 (75.4) | 31 (24.6) | | |
| Hill Brahmin/Chhetri | 114 (84.4) | 21 (15.6) | 4.034 | 0.133 |
| *Others | 86 (83.5) | 17 (16.5) | | |
| Religion | | | | |
| Hindu | 269 (80.3) | 66 (19.7) | | |
| Others (Buddhist, Christian) | 26 (89.7) | 3 (10.3) | 1.521 | 0.358 |
| Family size (Number) | | | | |
| <5 | 197 (81.4) | 45 (18.6) | 0.61 | 0.805 |
| >5 | 98 (80.3) | 24 (19.7) | | |

*Others (Terai/Hill Janjati, Terai/ Hill Dalit, Muslim, Telu, Vaishnav, Bhumihar)

None of the sociodemographic characteristics (age, gender, ethnicity, religion and family size) were significantly associated with self-medication ($p<0.05$).

Association of self-medication practice with socioeconomic characteristics

Table 6: Association with socioeconomic characteristics

| Variables | Practicing Self-Medication (n=295) (%) | Not practicing Self-Medication (n=69) (%) | Chi-square | p-value |
|---------------------------|--|---|------------|--------------|
| Father's Education | | | | |
| Below secondary level | 52 (71.2) | 21 (28.8) | 5.721 | 0.017 |
| Secondary level and above | 243 (83.5) | 48 (16.5) | | |
| Mother's Education | | | | |



Multi-disciplinary double-blind, peer review journal

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|-------|--------------|
| Below secondary level | 86 (74.8) | 29 (25.2) | 4.290 | 0.038 |
| Secondary level and above | 209 (83.9) | 40 (16.1) | | |
| Father's Occupation | | | | |
| Government worker | 58 (86.6) | 9 (13.4) | | |
| Business | 105 (76.1) | 33 (23.9) | 6.244 | 0.100 |
| Foreign employment | 71 (78.9) | 19 (21.1) | | |
| Others | 61 (88.4) | 8 (11.6) | | |
| Mother's Occupation | | | | |
| Business | 77 (74.8) | 26 (25.2) | | |
| Agriculture | 70 (84.3) | 13 (15.7) | 9.014 | 0.029 |
| Homemaker | 80 (89.9) | 9 (10.1) | | |
| Others | 68 (76.4) | 21 (23.6) | | |
| Academic field | | | | |
| Medical | 151 (83.0) | 31 (17.0) | 0.876 | 0.349 |
| Non-Medical | 144 (79.1) | 38 (20.9) | | |

*Others (Terai/Hill Janjati, Terai/ Hill Dalit, Muslim, Telu, Vaishnav, Bhumihar)

Self-medication was significantly associated with parental education and mother's occupation. No significant association was found for the father's occupation or academic field.

Table 7: Odds ratio of associated factors

| Variable | Practicing Self- Medicatio n (n=295) (%) | Not practicing Self- Medication (n=69) (%) | p- value | Odds ratio | 95% CI | Lower | Upper |
|------------------------------------|--|---|-------------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Father's Education | | | | | | | |
| Below secondary level | 52 (17.6) | 21 (30.4) | 0.018 | 0.49 | 0.270 | 0.088 | |
| Secondary level and above (Ref) | 243 (82.4) | 48 (69.6) | | | | | |
| Mother's Education | | | | | | | |
| Below secondary level | 86 (29.2) | 29 (42) | 0.040 | 0.57 | 0.331 | 0.974 | |
| Secondary level and above (Ref) | 209 (68.4) | 40 (58) | | | | | |
| Mother's Occupation | | | | | | | |
| Business | 77 (26.1) | 26 (37.7) | 0.035 | 0.91 | 0.472 | 1.771 | |
| Agriculture | 70 (23.7) | 13 (18.8) | | | | | |
| Homemaker | 80 (27.1) | 9 (13) | | | | | |
| Others (Unemployed) (Ref) | 68 (23.1) | 21 (30.4) | | | | | |

*Others (Terai/Hill Janjati, Terai/ Hill Dalit, Muslim, Telu, Vaishnav, Bhumihar)

Respondents whose fathers have secondary or higher education have lower odds of practicing self-medication than those with less educated fathers. Likewise, children of mothers with secondary



education and above are less likely to self-medicate, as shown by odds ratios below 1. Similarly, the likelihood of self-medication remains nearly unchanged across different maternal occupations.

Discussions

This study aims to identify the prevalence of self-medication and its association with sociodemographic and socioeconomic characteristics among undergraduates of Rupandehi district. An analytical cross-sectional study was conducted in Rupandehi district. A total of 282 students participated, including both medical and non-medical students. The findings highlight a deeply rooted culture of self-medication among undergraduates in Rupandehi. Easy access to medicines, financial constraints, and perceived simplicity of symptoms were some leading causes. These results show international trends, but the local context, such as high reliance on pharmacies and a lack of strong anti-prescription enforcement, magnifies the risks.

This study reveals how common self-medication is among undergraduate students in Rupandehi district, finding a high prevalence of 81% while most recent studies measure self-medication prevalence among specific populations, such as undergraduates in Kathmandu (78%), medical students in Pokhara (81.35%), and general communities in Western Nepal (59%)^{7,8}. The topic of prevalence is central across Nepali research, usually with rates between 50% and 90%⁹. The commonly used medicines according to this study are painkillers, antibiotics, antacids, and flu/cold medicines as the drugs most frequently used. Other Studies in Nepal reveal antipyretics (paracetamol), NSAIDs, anticold medicines, and antibiotics leading the list. The pattern is consistent with research across different student populations within Nepal⁷. Health Risks and Consequences in this study discusses perceived risks such as wrong diagnosis, overdose, side effects, and development of drug resistance among peers who self-medicate. Other Studies in Nepal shows the health consequences of unsupervised self-medication, often pointing to the risk of adverse drug reactions, drug resistance, and mistaken self-diagnosis as recurring themes⁷. In the context of sources of information and access, the pharmacy is considered a primary source of medication, with students describing symptoms to pharmacists or requesting known medicines. Many studies show that easy access to pharmacies and the absence of strict prescription enforcement are major reasons for high self-medication rates. Both studies report that students often rely on pharmacists rather than physicians for advice⁷.

In this study, the association with socio-demographic factors examines the relationship between education level, parental occupation, and self-medication. The other study of Nepal confirms that education level frequently affects self-medication behaviours. However, factors like age, gender, and marital status tend to show weaker or inconsistent associations in other Nepalese studies⁷. Comparing medical and non-medical students, the data suggests both groups are equally susceptible, although medical students may have better knowledge of drug types. However, both groups overlook risks like drug resistance and side effects, which point to gaps in awareness and education.

Conclusion

This study found a high self-medication rate (81%) among undergraduate students in Rupandehi, showing it is a common behaviour across both medical and non-medical groups. Easy drug access perceived minor illness, reliance on pharmacists, and experience were key factors, with frequent use of painkillers, antibiotics, antacids, and cold/flu medicines like findings from other Nepali studies. Despite



awareness of risks like misdiagnosis and antibiotic resistance, these concerns did not significantly reduce the practice. Parental education and mother's occupation showed some influence, highlighting the need for stronger awareness, regulation, and targeted educational programs.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the supervisor and professors at Kathmandu Multiple College, the Principal of both medical and non-medical colleges, and Deurali Urban Health Centre and all the respondents involved in this study for their cooperation and support.

Funding statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest:

No conflict of interest

References

1. Kokabisaghi F, Emadi MSM, Tajik A, Sharifi F, Houshmand E, Varmaghani M. The prevalence and causes of self-medication among medical university students in Iran during COVID-19 outbreak and its implications for public health and health systems: A cross-sectional study. *Heal Sci Reports.* 2024;7(3):1–10.
2. Karmacharya A, Uprety BN, Pathiyil RS, Gyawali S. Knowledge and Practice of Self-medication among Undergraduate Medical Students. *J Lumbini Med Coll.* 2018;6(1):21–6.
3. Sherpa AT, Yadav RK, Bajracharya M. Journal of KIST Medical College. KistmcthEduNp [Internet]. 2019;1(2):52–5. Available from: http://kistmcth.edu.np/uploads/ckfinder/files/Final1_JKMC Vol_1_1_7th draft.pdf#page=37
4. Amponsah SK, Odamten G, Adams I, Kretchy IA. A comparative analysis of pattern and attitude towards self-medication among pharmacy and non-pharmacy students in University of Ghana. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2022;41.
5. Shah K, Halder S, Haider SS. Assessment of knowledge, perception, and awareness about self-medication practices among university students in Nepal. *Heliyon* [Internet]. 2021;7(1):e05976. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e05976>
6. Bhatt LD. Health Service Utilization and Out-Of-Pocket Health Expenditure Among Insured and Uninsured : A Comparative Study in Baglung District , Nepal. 2020;
7. Ghimire P, Pant P, Khatiwada S, Ranjit S, Malla S, Pandey S. Self-medication practice in Kathmandu Metropolitan City: A cross-sectional study. *SAGE Open Med.* 2023;11.
8. Paudel S, Aryal B. Exploration of self-medication practice in Pokhara valley of Nepal. *BMC Public Health.* 2020;20(1):1–5.
9. Behzadifar M, Behzadifar M, Aryankhesal A, Ravaghi H, Baradaran HR, Sajadi HS, et al. Prevalence of self-medication in university students : systematic review and meta-analysis. *2020;26(7).*